

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: JOHN K. HARROP
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 300 SOUTH
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

18 OCT 2000

Applicant's or agent's file reference

5287.01

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

International filing date (day/month/year)

11 APRIL 2000

Priority Date (day/month/year)

13 APRIL 1999

Applicant

DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/TB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

CHRISTOPHER GRANT

Telephone No. (703) 305-4755

DOCKETED

10/25/01
WPK

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: JOHN K. HARROP
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 300 SOUTH
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

18 OCT 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference

5287.01

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

International filing date (day/month/year)

11 APRIL 2000

Priority Date (day/month/year)

13 APRIL 1999

Applicant

DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box POT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

CHRISTOPHER GRANT

Telephone No. (703) 305-4755

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY


PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5287.01	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US00/09542	International filing date (day/month/year) 11 APRIL 2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 13 APRIL 1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 7/173 and US Cl. 725/87:		
Applicant DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC.		

<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>4</u> sheets.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>5</u> sheets.</p> <p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application 	
---	--

Date of submission of the demand 13 NOVEMBER 2000	Date of completion of this report 24 AUGUST 2001
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	Authorized officer  CHRISTOPHER GRANT
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 305-4755

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

I. Basis of the report**1. With regard to the elements of the international application:***

☐ the international application as originally filed
☒ the description:
pages (See Attached) _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

☒ the claims:
pages (See Attached) _____, as originally filed
pages _____, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

☒ the drawings:
pages (See Attached) _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

☒ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages (See Attached) _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in printed form.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☒ the description, pages NONE
☒ the claims, Nos. NONE
☒ the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. ☐ This report has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

**Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/09542

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-109</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	<u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1-109</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-109</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>NONE</u>	NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-33 and 64-109 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hendricks (WO 95/15649) in view of Wilson.

Considering claims 1, 64 and 71, Hendricks discloses a method for ordering and distributing electronic books comprising:

- (1) receiving a data stream representing a book (from 282 to 250, figure 1);
- (2) processing the data stream comprising:
 - (a) encrypting (figure 5: step 504, page 10, lines 9-20, page 11, lines 10-11);
 - (b) add error correction (pages 11, lines 10-11 and step 504);
 - (c) convert (step 508);
 - (d) compress (MPEG data, figure 18b);
 - (e) multiplex (1064, figure 18b);
- (3) broadcasting (208, figure 2)
- (4) displaying (figure 14b-14e)
- (5) receiving order (figure 14b-14e, page 25);
- (6) generating, sending and receiving authorization signal (pages (7) demultiplexing, decrypting and decompressing are all corresponding and necessary steps to the multiplexing, encrypting and compressing at the transmitting center stations.

Although Hendricks discloses a encrypting/decrypting, he fails to specifically disclose that the authorization signal provides a code that the terminal uses to decrypt as recited in the claims.

Wilson discloses a receiver (figure 1) for receiving program data and local authorization codes for decrypting programs for viewing at the terminal. Encryption and the corresponding decryption techniques prevent unauthorized viewers from watching private, special or pay programs and events. See abstract and column 5, lines 5-40.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hendricks' system to include the authorization signal to provide a code that the terminal uses to decrypt, as taught by Wilson, for the typical advantage of enabling authorized viewers to watch private or pay programs and events.

(Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

I. BASIS OF REPORT:

This report has been drawn on the basis of the description,
page(s) 1-74, as originally filed.
page(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

This report has been drawn on the basis of the claims,
page(s) 76, 80-86, as originally filed.
page(s) NONE, as amended under Article 19.
page(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
Claim pages 75, 77-79, filed with the letter of 20 July 2001

This report has been drawn on the basis of the drawings,
page(s) 1-53, as originally filed.
page(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

This report has been drawn on the basis of the sequence listing part of the description:
page(s) NONE, as originally filed.
pages(s) NONE, filed with the demand.
and additional amendments:
NONE

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued):

Claims 2-21, 23-33, 65-70 and 72-109 are limitations disclosed and/or illustrated throughout the Hendricks reference.

Claim 22 is met by the combined systems of Hendricks and Wilson, wherein Hendricks discloses one or more of the multiplexing schemes sent over the air as shown by the output of mux (1080) to the satellite of figure 18b.

Claims 34-63 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hendricks (WO 95/15649).

Considering claim 34, Hendricks discloses a method for distributing electronic books comprising:

- (1) receiving a data stream representing a book (from 282 to 250, figure 1);
- (2) packaging, packetizing, generating and providing:
 - (a) encrypting (figure 5: step 504, page 10, lines 9-20, page 11, lines 10-11);
 - (b) add error correction (pages 11, lines 10-11 and step 504);
 - (c) convert (step 508);
 - (d) compress (MPEG data, figure 18b);
 - (e) multiplex (1064, figure 18b);
 - (f) broadcasting (208, figure 2); and
- (3) receiving and providing....order (figure 14b-14e, page 25).

Although Hendricks discloses of providing electronic book and menu from external sources, he fails to specifically disclose providing the menu using an Internet web site as recited in the claim.

However, the Internet is the most widely used source for obtaining information on various subject matter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hendricks' system to include the menu using an Internet web site because the Internet is the most widely used source for obtaining information on various subject matter.

Claims 35-63 are limitations disclosed and/or illustrated throughout the Hendricks reference.

-----NEW CITATIONS-----

WO 95 15649 A (HENDRICKS) 08 June 1995, whole document

US 5,742,680 A (WILSON) 21 April 1998, abstract and column 5, lines 5-40.